

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 21-0243V

KATHRYN RIKARD,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: May 1, 2024

Leah VaSahnja Durant, Law Offices of Leah V. Durant, PLLC, Washington, DC, for Petitioner.

Emilie Williams, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On January 7, 2021, Kathryn Rikard filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner filed an amended petition on May 5, 2022. ECF No. 21. Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA"), a defined Table injury, or in the alternative a caused-in-fact injury, after

¹Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

receiving the influenza (“flu”) vaccine on September 25, 2019. Amended Petition at 1, ¶¶ 1, 9. On August 1, 2023, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties’ stipulation. ECF No. 34.

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney’s fees and costs, requesting an award of \$26,807.38 (representing \$24,353.00 in fees plus \$2,454.38 in costs). Petitioner’s Application for Attorneys’ Fees (“Motion”) filed January 21, 2024, ECF No. 39. In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that she incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. Id. at 2. Respondent did not respond to Petitioner’s Motion.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner’s request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates. Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. Motion Ex. 2 at 1 - 9. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney’s fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner’s Motion for attorney’s fees and costs. **I award a total of \$26,807.38 (representing \$24,353.00 in fees plus \$2,454.38 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner’s counsel, Leah VaSahnja Durant.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.